Simultating plasma-wall interaction in fusion reactors with beam-surface experiments



Plasma-Surface team / PIIM laboratory Aix-Marseille University - CNRS Marseille, France





30th Summer School and International Symposium on the Physics of Ionized Gases August 24-28, 2020





Context - the international fusion experiment ITER

- ²H⁺ + ³H⁺ → ⁴He²⁺ + n⁰ 100 000 000 K
- Magnetic confinement of the fusion plasma to protect reactor inner walls
- Power and particle exhaust at the divertor

~ 10²⁴ part.m⁻².s⁻¹ (<50 eV) (10 MW.m⁻²) ~ ³H up to ~ 1 g.m⁻².s⁻¹ 1 kg of ³H⁺ allowed to be retained in inner walls

 \rightarrow strong recycling needed



Understand interaction of ³H (i.e. ²H) with tungsten to control its retention





Context - the international fusion experiment ITER Study interaction of D with W to understand retention mechanisms



Realistic Surface Picture

realistic approach

Linear plasma experiments Many processes occur at the same time → Difficult to disentangle them





Context - the international fusion experiment ITER Simulating plasma-wall interactions with beam-surface experiments



Simplified Surface Picture

sputtered impurity atom

Wirth *et al.* MRS Bulletin (2011)

- 1. Pick only a single impinging gas species
- 2. Choose a simple material
- 3. Understand this specific interaction
- 4. Repeat for another combination...

fundamental approach

Beam experiments
Few processes occur at the same time
→ Easier to disentangle them (but time consuming)





simulating plasma-wall interactions with beam-surface experiments D retention in W: fundamental approach





simulating plasma-wall interactions with beam-surface experiments D retention in W: fundamental approach



4. Understand fundamental
 D-W interaction
 → predict ³H retention in fusion reactor





IB: ion beam D_2^+ (250 eV/D) – 45° incidence angle



simulating plasma-wall interactions with beam-surface experiments D retention in W: fundamental approach



Deuterium retention in tungsten Part 1 : Poly-W – building the experimental dataset



Deuterium retention in recrystallized polycristalline W one TPD peak which temperature position depends on D ion fluence

Bisson et al., Journal of Nuclear Materials 476 (2015) 432

3. MRE modeling

4. D – W interaction





Deuterium retention in tungsten Part 1 : Poly-W – building the experimental dataset



Deuterium retention in tungsten Part 1 : Poly-W – building the experimental dataset



Deuterium retention in tungsten Part 1 : Poly-W – building a MRE model

1D MRE model – MHIMS code: Hodille *et al.,* J. Nuc. Mater. **467** (2015) 424 Hodille *et al.,* Phys. Scr. **T167** (2016) 014011

implantation bulk diffusion (de)trapping

$$\partial \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{m}} / \partial \mathbf{t} = \boldsymbol{\varphi} \cdot (1 - \mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z}) + \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{diff}} \cdot \partial^2 \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{m}} / \partial \mathbf{z}^2 - \partial \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{t}} / \partial \mathbf{t} \qquad (1)$$

$$\partial c_t / \partial t = v_{trap} \cdot (c_m / n_m) \cdot (n_t - c_t) - v_{detrap} \cdot c_t$$
 (2)



4. D – W interaction





2a. D retention (TPD,NRA) 2b. W characterization

1. D implantation (IB)



Deuterium retention in tungsten Part 1 : Poly-W – testing an ad-hoc MRE model



Deuterium retention in tungsten Part 1 : Poly-W – completing the experimental dataset / constraining the model



Deuterium retention in tungsten Part 1 : Poly-W – testing the more complete DFT-MRE model



Deuterium retention in tungsten Part 1 : Poly-W – Summary

Recrystallized polycristalline tungsten (Poly-W):

- > 2 rate limiting steps should co-exist for D release (even though one TPD peak)
- Grain boundaries + oxide layer

However:

No direct evidence for 2 rate limiting steps (inference from exp. vs model.)

Need to find a way to disentangle these rate-limiting steps

Bisson *et al.*, Journal of Nuclear Materials **476** (2015) 432 Hodille *et al.*, Nuclear Fusion **57** (2017) 076019

Ghiorghiu et al., Nuclear Instruments & Methods B 461 (2019) 159





Deuterium retention in tungsten Part 2 : disentangling the two rate-limiting steps





during TPD evaluation of D retention/release, the sample temperature varies with the heating rate $\beta = \frac{dT}{dt}$

kinetics of trapped D (c_t) release depends on β

$$\frac{dc_t}{dT} = -\frac{c_t}{\beta} \times \nu_0 \times e^{\left(-\frac{L_a}{k_b T}\right)}$$

increasing β shifts to higher temperature the peak of D release rate (Tp)

FIG. 3. Activation energy of desorption (E) as a function of T_p for a first-order reaction and a linear temperature sweep $(T = T_0 + \beta t)$ taking $v_I \approx 10^{13} \sec^{-1}$.





Deuterium retention in tungsten Part 2 : disentangling the two rate-limiting steps







Deuterium retention in tungsten Part 2 : disentangling the two rate-limiting steps



Advanced MUlti-beams experiment for Plasma Surface Interaction studies (AMU-PSI)

200 W CW laser heating (~10 MW/m²)

β: from 0.1 up to >100 K/s



High IB: D₂⁺ ion beam (250 eV/D) **LI TPD**: Laser Induced TPD



30th SPIG – 2020 – Šabac, Serbia R. Bisson

18



Deuterium retention in tungsten Part 2 : Poly-W vs Single-W – summary

Polycrystalline versus single crystal W study with Laser-Induced TPD:

- ✓ confirmation that Poly-W has 2 rate-limiting steps for D release
- ✓ grain boundaries + « native oxide » detrapping

However:

« native oxide » cannot be modeled quantitatively (not shown here)

Ghiorghiu et al., Nuclear Instruments & Methods B 461 (2019) 159

Need to find a « cleaner » way to study the « native oxide » :

study « native oxide » retention of a single crystal (i.e. with no other defects)
 remove the « native oxide » → study how D retention changes ?
 study well-controlled oxide and try to model it quantitatively





0.002

0.001

0.000

Auger Signal

W(110):O_xC_y "native oxide"



- LEED: several crystalline structures + an amorphous background
- AES: presence of C and O in the "native oxide"







Single crystal tungsten

EUROfusion

W(110):O_xC_y "native oxide"



- LEED: several crystalline structures + an amorphous background
- AES: presence of C and O in the "native oxide"









W(110):O_xC_y "native oxide"



- LEED: several crystalline structures + an amorphous background
- AES: presence of C and O in the "native oxide"





$W(110):O_{\delta}C_{\delta'}$ almost clean



- LEED: disappearance of amorphous background
- AES: still C and O

000000



Electron Energy (eV)





W(110):O_xC_y "native oxide"



- LEED: several crystalline structures + an amorphous background
- AES: presence of C and O in the "native oxide"





W(110): $O_{\delta}C_{\delta'}$ almost clean



- LEED: disappearance of amorphous background
- AES: still C and O



2000 laser heating 1500 1000 500 500 100 200 300 400 Time (s)

Repeat for a month (using oxygen atmosphere)





W(110):O_xC_y "native oxide"



- LEED: several crystalline structures + an amorphous background
- AES: presence of C and O in the "native oxide"





 $W(110):O_{\delta}C_{\delta'}$ almost clean



LEED: disappearance of amorphous background W(110):clean (1x1)



- LEED: 1x1 structure of clean W(110)
- AES: still C and O AES: only W







W(110):O_xC_y "native oxide"



- LEED: several crystalline structures + an amorphous background
- AES: presence of C and O in the "native oxide"





 $W(110):O_{\delta}C_{\delta'}$ almost clean



- LEED: disappearance of amorphous background
- AES: still C and O
- AES: only W

000000

000000

000000



W(110):clean (1x1)



LEED: 1x1 structure of clean W(110) W(110):O_{0.5} (2x1)



- LEED: 2x1 structure halfmonolayer of oxygen
- AES: only W and O





simulating plasma-wall interactions with beam-surface experiments D retention in polycrystalline W: a fundamental approach



- ✓ 2 rate-limiting steps for D retention/release separated with fast laser heating
- ✓ grain boundaries trap D
- ✓ bulk impurities (O+C) trap D
- ✓ sub-monolayer O reduces D trapping at the surface
- ? What about D retention in pure thick tungsten oxides?
- ? What about D retention with C impurity (ubiquitous in bulk W + surface segregation) ?







thank you for your attention



Ghiorghiu *et al.*, Nuclear Instruments & Methods B **461** (2019) 159

Financial support was provided by the French national research agency (ANR), through project ANR-06-BLAN-0008, and the EURATOM-MESCS association (Slovenia). The project leading to this work has received funding from the Excellence Initiative of Aix-Marseille University – A*Midex, a French "Investissements d'Avenir" programme as well as from the ANR under grant ANR-18-CE05-12.

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement number 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.



