CHANNELING POTENTIAL THROUGH RADIAL SPIG 2020 **DEFORMED TRIPLE WALL CARBON NANOTUBES**

DUŠKO BORKA and VESNA BORKA JOVANOVIĆ

Department of Theoretical Physics and Condensed Matter Physics (020), Vinča Institute of Nuclear Sciences -National Institute of the Republic of Serbia, University of Belgrade, P.O. Box 522, 11001 Belgrade, Serbia

e-mail: dusborka@vinca.rs, vborka@vinca.rs

▶ In this study we presented a theoretical investigation of the channeling interaction potential between proton and the radial deformed (12,0)@(8,0)@(4,0) triple-wall carbon nanotubes (TWNTs). Multi-wall nanotubes (MWNTs) can be technically realised with better channeling performance than the single-wall nanotubes (SWNTs) and that is why we believe that these investigations can be useful for guiding of nanosized ion beams [1]. Obtained results show that the channeling potential is strongly influenced of level of radial deformation of nanotube [2, 3, 4].

15 TWNT(12,0)@(8,0)@(4,0)

15 TWNT(12,0)@(8,0)@(4,0)





VINČA INSTITUTI OF NUCLEAR SCIENCES



Figure 1. The view along axes of short TWNTs(12,0)@(8,0)@(4,0)under different radial strains: (left top) $\eta = 0$ (perfect nanotube); (right top) $\eta = 0.1$; (left down) $\eta = 0.2$; (right down) $\eta = 0.3$, respectively.

> We take that the interaction potential of the proton and a nanotube atom is of the Thomas-Fermi type and adopt for it the



Figure 2. Contour plot of the channeling interaction potential U (x, y) in the xy plane between proton and TWNTs(12,0)@(8,0)@(4,0) under different radial strains: (left top) $\eta = 0$; (right top) $\eta = 0.1$; (left down) $\eta =$ 0.2; (right down) $\eta = 0.3$, respectively. Values for potential U(x,y) within nanotube are presented in atomic units.

Radial deformation is characterized by the dimensionless parameter $\eta = (R - R_v)/R$ [9, 10, 11], where R_v is semi-minor axis and R is the radius of undeformed nanotube. Also, we can express the semi-axis as a function of the radius R and the parameter η : $R_x = R(1 - \eta) - 1$ and $R_y = R(1 - \eta)$. The position of the carbon atom of the k-th atomic string in a plane perpendicular to the nanotube axis is determined by the angle θ_{ν} and the distance from the nanotube center is R_k .

The interaction potential U(x,y) can be calculated using following equation: $U(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \frac{4Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{d} \times \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_j K_0 \left(b_j \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + R_k^2 - 2\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} R_k \cos \theta_k \right)$

Molière's expression [5, 6, 7]:

$$V(r') = \frac{Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{r'} \left(0.35 e^{-0.3r'/a} + 0.55 e^{-1.2r'/a} + 0.10 e^{-6.0r'/a} \right)$$

 $Z_1 = 1$ and $Z_2 = 6$ are the atomic numbers of the proton and nanotubes atoms, respectively

r – the distance between the proton and the nanotube atoms, b = 0.3/a - parameter

 $a = [9\pi^2/(128 Z_2)]^{1/3}a_0$ – the screening radius of the atom

 a_0 – the Bohr radius (52.918 pm).

When we apply continuum approximation [6, 8] as a result, we obtain the proton- nanotube continuum interaction potential:

$$U(x,y) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} U_j(x,y); \quad U_j(x,y) = \frac{1}{d} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} V(\rho_j^2 + z^2)^{1/2} dz$$
$$\rho_j = \left((x - x_j)^2 + (y - y_j)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

> The total number of atomic strings in the nanotube is indicated by J and in our case it is 48 (see Figure 1).

We can conclude that the nanotube channeling potential depends strongly on the radial strain.

References

[1] Artru X. et al.: 2005, Phys. Rep. 412, 89. [2] Borka Jovanović V., Borka D., Galijaš S. M. D.: 2017, Phys. Lett. A 381, 1687. [3] Borka D. & Borka Jovanović V.: 2019, Atoms 7, 88. [4] Borka D. & Galijaš S. M. D.: 2019, Rom. Rep. Phys. 71, 207. [5] Gemmell D. S.: 1974, Rev. Mod. Phys. 46, 129. [6] Lindhard J. K.: 1965, Dan. Vidensk. Selsk., Mat.-Fys.Medd. 34, No. 14, 1. [7] Molière G.: 1947, Z. Naturforsch. A 2, 133. [8] Saito R., Dresselhaus G., Dresselhaus M. S.: Physical Properties of Carbon Nanotubes, Imperial College Press, London (2001). [9] Abu-Assy M. K. & Soliman M. S.: 2016, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. B 384, 93. [10] Hasegawa M. & Nishidate K.: 2006, Phys. Rev. B 74, 115401.

[11] Imtani A. N. & Jindal V. K.: 2007, Phys. Rev. B 76, 195447.